

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	√O. [F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,512	-	10/17/2000	Eric C. Hannah	INTL-0482-US (P10030)	3230	
21906	21906 7590 10/10/2006			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
	RUNER &	,		JANVIER,	JEAN D	
	1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				. 3622	<u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
*	Advisory Action	09/690,512	HANNAH ET AL.				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	¥	Jean Janvier	3622				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
	. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing that the mailing dates the mailing dates.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	-					
4. 🗌 5. 🔲		21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,11-17,19 and 21-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		· .				
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
	See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
			Jean Janvier Examiner Art Unit: 3622				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's remarks are not persuasive for the same reasons cited in the final action. Indeed, in response to the Applicant's arguments, regarding the 102 Rejection, that neither the applied reference nor the Office Action teaches "associating an indication that an advertisement was played with an identifier for a particular user" as featured in claims 1 and 11, the Examiner admits that each advertisement has, by default, a unique ID, filename or identifier that uniquely identifies the advertisement in the system (at the time of download to the time of reception by the specific user). Further, each (identified) advertisement is tracked to determine whether or not it was played in its entirety before the specific user is being compensated for viewing the specific or identified advertisement. In other words, the process of "associating an identifier with an advertisement whether or not the advertisement was played" is implicitly taught or supported in the prior art. Moreover, Rodriguez supports compensating a subscriber or a specific user for each advertisement played in its entirety and thus, that advertisement must be identified before the user is compensated. To this end, the process of "associating an indication that an advertisement was played with an identifier for a particular user" in anticipated by the reference.

Finally, features that are inherent in the art or widely used in the industry need not be disclosed in a reference in order for these features to be anticipated by the current prior art; in other words, failure of those skilled in the art to contemporaneously recognize an inherent property, function or ingredient of a prior art does not preclude a finding of anticipation (MPEP 2131.01 (III).

JEAN D. JANVIER
PRIMARY EXAMINES